


PP 2111

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/816,887
Filing Date	3.23.2001
First Named Inventor	Pugh
Art Unit	2164
Examiner Name	Chojnacki, Melissa M.
Attorney Docket Number	109870-130111

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement

<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation
<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address
<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund
<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Return Receipt Postcard |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Robert C. Peck		
Date	November 30, 2005	Reg. No.	56,826

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Yvette L. Chriscaden	Date	November 30, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



Effective on 12/08/2004.
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2005

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$ 500

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/816,887
Filing Date	3/23/2001
First Named Inventor	Pugh
Examiner Name	Chojnacki, Melissa M.
Art Unit	2164
Attorney Docket No.	109870-130111

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

- ☒ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____
- ☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 500393 Deposit Account Name: Schwabe Williamson et al.
- For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)
- ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
- ☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	200	100
Multiple dependent claims	360	180
Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)
- 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____		
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.		
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)
- 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____		
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.		

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets - 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____ = _____

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Appeal Brief filing fee

Fees Paid (\$)
500

SUBMITTED BY

Signature

Registration No. 56,826
(Attorney/Agent)


Telephone 503 222 9981

Name (Print/Type) Robert C. Peck

Date November 30, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Docket No.: 109870-130111

MAIL STOP: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

By: Yvette L. Chriscaden Date: November 30, 2005
Yvette L. Chriscaden

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

App. No. : 09/816,887 Confirmation No.: 6896
Inventor : William A. Pugh
Filed : March 23, 2001
Title : DATABASE AND OPERATING SYSTEM INDEPENDENT
COPYING/ARCHIVING OF A WEB BASED APPLICATION
Art Unit : 2164
Examiner : Chojnacki, Mellissa M.
Customer No. : 25,943

MAIL STOP: APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPELLANT'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S APPEAL
TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Dear Sir:

This appeal furthers the Notice of Appeal filed on October 12, 2005. The appeal arises from a final decision by the Examiner in the final Office Action, dated July 12, 2005.

The final decision was in response to arguments filed on April 13, 2005, in response to an earlier office action, mailed January 13, 2005.

Appellants submit this *Brief on Appeal* in triplicate, including payment in the amount of \$500.00 to cover the fee for filing the *Brief on Appeal*. Appellants respectfully request

12/02/2005 MGE BREM1 00000034 09816887

01 FC:1402

500.00 OP

SEA/109870/130111/RPE/342885.1

consideration of this appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the present patent application.

Real Party in Interest:

This application is assigned to BEA Systems, Inc., having a principal place of business at 2315 North First Street, San Jose, California 95131. The assignment is recorded at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, reel 014432, frame 0957.

Related Appeals and Interferences:

To the best of Appellants' knowledge, there are no related appeals or interference proceedings currently pending, which would directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

Status of Claims:

Appellants appeal the rejection of claims 1-38. Claims 1-38 were pending and were rejected in the Final Office Action dated July 12, 2005. Claims 1-38 are reproduced, as pending, in Appendix A.

Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter:

As stated in the first paragraph on page 1 of the specification of the instant application, the invention relates to the copying or archiving of web sites or web based applications that include files that are part of a file system as well as non-file system structures. A web-based application **100** having file system structures, such as files **102** and access control list **103**, non-file system structures, such as data tables **104**, their associated schemas **106**, and other control structures **108**, is copied or archived by first initializing a file **114** to store the web based application **100**, including creation of a root directory **302** within the file **114**. Then, data directories **304** are created under the root directory **302**, and a number of storage data objects, such as data table directories **310**, are initialized under the data directories **304** for all non-file system structures of the web based application **100**. Thereafter, the non-file system structures are copied and stored

into the storage data objects, and the file system structures are copied and stored as additional storage data objects **316** under the root directory **302**. Coping and storing are facilitated by a copy/archive utility **112**.

Grounds For Rejection To Be Argued On Appeal:

- I. Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the teachings of U.S. Patent No. 6,823,338 to *Byrne, et al.* (hereinafter "Byrne") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,208,993 to *Shadmon* (hereinafter "Shadmon").
- II. Claims 6-8, 16-18, 21, 23, 32, and 33-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the teachings of Byrne in view of Shadmon, as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,693 to *Smith, et al.* (hereinafter "Smith").
- III. Claims 25-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the teachings of Byrne in view of Smith.
- IV. Claims 2 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the teachings of Byrne in view of Shadmon, as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19, further in view of Smith, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,106 to *Bodin, et al.* (hereinafter "Bodin").
- V. Claims 10, 20, 22, and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the teachings of Byrne in view of Shadmon, as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19, further in view of Smith, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,096 to *Gai, et al.* (hereinafter "Gai").

Grouping of Claims

For purposes of this appeal, based on the above listed grounds of rejection and their current pending states, all claims 1-38 stand or fall together.

Arguments:

- I. Rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) was improper because Byrne and Shadmon, alone or in combination, fail to teach the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19 is viewed as a whole.

To establish obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the Examiner must view the invention as a whole. Further, the Examiner is to perform the obviousness analysis in accordance with the standard set forth by the Supreme Court in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966). That standard requires that the Examiner (1) determine the scope and content of the prior art; (2) ascertain the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue; (3) resolve the level of ordinary skill in the art; and (4) evaluate evidence of secondary considerations. *Id.* at 17-18; see also MPEP 2141. Secondary considerations include whether the invention met with commercial success, whether the invention answered a long felt need, and whether others attempting the invention have failed. *Graham*, 383 U.S. at 17-18. Further, in applying the *Graham* framework, the Examiner must consider the invention as a whole, without the benefit of hindsight. MPEP 2141.

Claim 1 calls for a method for copying/archiving a web based application including the operations of:

- initializing a file to store the web based application, including creation of a root directory within the file;
- creating data directories under the root directory, and initializing storage data objects under the data directories for all non-file system structures of the web based application; and
- copying and storing the non-file system structures into the storage data objects.

As such, claim 1 describes copying/archiving a web-based application using a file to store the web based application. The file exhibits its own file directory within the file including a root directory and data directories. Non-file system structures of the web based application are stored in the file under the storage data objects.

In contrast, Byrne teaches a “method for securing and processing sparse access control list (ACL) data in a relational database used as a backing store for a hierarchical-based directory service.” The directory is made available through an LDAP server, and has a root under which directory entries are organized. Access to the contents of the directory is controlled by a relational database that has tables storing the ACL data. The tables themselves are not part of the directory (see BYRNE Figures 1, 2, and 5). Thus, they are not stored as objects under the directory, but rather, like a lock to a safe, are an outside component controlling access to the entries of the directory. Therefore, Byrne fails to teach the operations recited in claim 1 of archiving web based applications in a platform independent file, the file containing a root directory where non-file system objects, such as tables, are stored as storage data objects under a data directory, which in turn is created under the above-mentioned root directory.

In further contrast, Shadmon “teaches a method and a system for uniformly accessing multiple directory services.” Shadmon claims an index that is arranged in blocks and is linked to directory items, the items themselves corresponding to data records. The directory taught by Shadmon, however, merely has entries corresponding to data records. It does not, like the present invention as claimed in claim 1, claim a directory storing non-file system structures like data records. Thus, Shadmon and Byrne, taken as a whole, fail to disclose the storing of non-file system structures in a directory.

Additionally, the data dictionary taught by Shadmon simply “maintains meta-data information, which provides information on the data records.” In contrast, the present invention as claimed in claim 1 teaches a data directory for storing storage data objects.

These storage data objects are claimed to store non-file system structures, such as data records. Thus, unlike the data dictionary of Shadmon which merely maintains information about data records, the data directory of the present invention as claimed in claim 1 actually stores data records.

Thus, Byrne and Shadmon, alone or in combination, fail to teach two features key to the structure of the claimed invention of claim 1: the storing of non-file system structures under a directory, and the creation of a data directory under a root directory. These key features illustrate a novel relationship – between maintaining a directory and storing within that directory the very tables and other non-file system structures to which the directory refers. It is this novel relationship, then, that differentiates the present invention as claimed in claim 1 from Byrne and Shadmon. Consequently, claim 1 is patentable over Byrne and Shadmon.

Claim 11 contains in substance the same recitations earlier discussed for claim 1. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, claim 11 is patentable over Byrne and Shadmon.

Claims 3-5, 9, 11, 13-15, and 19 depend from either claim 1 or 11, incorporating their limitations correspondingly. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons, claims 3-5, 9, 13-15, and 19 are patentable over Byrne and Shadmon.

- II. Rejection of claims 6-8, 16-18, 21, 23, 32, and 33-38, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) was improper because Byrne, Shadmon, and Smith, alone or in combination, fail to teach the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 6-8, 16-18, 21, 23, 32, and 33-38 is viewed as a whole.

Smith does not remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of Byrne and Shadmon. Therefore, claims 1, 11, 21, 23, and 32 remain patentable over Byrne and Shadmon even when combined with Smith.

Claims 6-8, 16-18, and 33-38 depend on claims 1, 11, and 32, incorporating their limitations respectively. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, Claims 6-8, 16-18, 21, 23, 32, and 33-38 are patentable over Byrne, Shadmon, and Smith, alone or in combination.

- III. Rejection of claims 25-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) was improper because Byrne and Smith, alone or in combination, fail to teach the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 25-31 is viewed as a whole.

Smith does not remedy the above-discussed deficiency of Byrne. Therefore, claim 25 remains patentable over Byrne and Smith, alone or in combination.

Claims 26-31 depend on claim 25, incorporating its limitations respectively. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, claims 26-31 are patentable over Byrne and Smith, alone or in combination.

- IV. Rejection of claims 2 and 12, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) was improper because Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Bodin, alone or in combination, fail to teach the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 2 and 12 is viewed as a whole.

Bodin and Smith, alone or in combination, do not remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of Byrne and Shadmon. Therefore, claims 1 and 11 remain patentable over Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Bodin, alone or in combination.

Claims 2 and 12 depend on either Claims 1 or 11, incorporating their limitations respectively. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, Claims 2 and 12 are patentable over Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Bodin, alone or in combination.

- V. Rejection of claims 10, 20, 22, and 24, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) was improper because Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Gai, alone or in combination, fail to teach the claimed invention when the invention as claimed in claims 10, 20, 22, and 24 is viewed as a whole.

Smith and Gai, alone or in combination, do not remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of Byrne and Shadmon. Therefore, claims 1, 11, 21, and 23 remain patentable over Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Gai, alone or in combination.

Claims 10, 20, 22, and 24 depend on claims 1, 11, 21, and 23, incorporating their limitations respectively. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, Claims 10, 20, 22, and 24 are patentable over Byrne, Shadmon, Smith, and Gai, alone or in combination.


Conclusion

Appellant respectfully submits that all the appealed claims in this application are patentable and requests that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences overrule the Examiner and direct allowance of the rejected claims.

This brief is re-submitted in triplicate, along with Check Number 13514 for \$500.00 to cover the filing of appeal brief. We do not believe any additional fees, in particular extension of time fees, are needed. However, should that be necessary, please charge our deposit account 500393. In addition, please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account No. 500393.

Date: November 30, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Robert C. Peck', written over a horizontal line.

Robert C. Peck, Reg. No. 56,826
Agent for Appellant Applicants

Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.
1420 Fifth, Suite 3010
Seattle, WA 98101
Tel: (206) 622-1711
Fax: (206) 292-0460

Appendix A – Appealed Claims

1. (Original) A method for copying/archiving a web based application, said method comprising:

initializing a file to store said web based application, including creation of a root directory within said file;

creating data directories under said root directory, and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories for all non-file system structures of the web based application; and

copying and storing said non-file system structures into said first plurality of storage data objects.

2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein said initializing of a file to store said web based application comprises initializing a compressible file.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said creating of data directories under said root directory and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories comprises creating an application level data directory under said root directory.

4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 3, wherein said creating of data directories under said root directory and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories further comprises:

initializing a first of said first plurality of storage data objects under said application level data directory to store a structural description describing non-file system structures and files of a file system of the web based application; and

copying and storing said structure description in said first of said first plurality of storage data objects.

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, wherein said copying and storing of non-file system structures into said first plurality of storage data objects comprises:

initializing a second of said first plurality of storage data objects under said application level data directory to store a user description describing users of the web based application; and

copying and storing said user description in said second of said first plurality of storage data objects.

6. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein said creating of data directories under said root directory and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories further comprises creating a plurality of data table directories under said application level data directory.

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6, wherein said creating of data directories under said root directory and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories further comprises:

initializing a first subset of said first plurality of storage data objects under said data table directory to store data table schemas of the web based application; and

initializing a second subset of said first plurality of storage data objects under said data table directory to data tables of the web based application.

8. (Original) The method of claim 7, wherein said copying and storing of non-file system structures into said first plurality of storage data objects comprises copying and storing data table schemas and data tables of the web based application into corresponding pairs of said first and second subset of said first plurality of storage data objects.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises copying and storing files of the web based application that are part of a file system into

said file for storing said web based application as second plurality of storage data objects under said root directory.

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein said copying and storing of files of the web based application that are part of a file system into said file for storing said web based application as second plurality of storage data objects under said root directory comprises pre-processing access control lists into a self-describing format before storing the access control lists into selected ones of said second plurality of storage data objects.

11. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

storage medium having stored therein programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to:

initialize a file to store said web based application, including creation of a root directory within said file;

create data directories under said root directory, and initializing a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories for all non-file system structures of the web based application; and

copy and store said non-file system structures into said first plurality of storage data objects; and

a processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

12. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to initialize a compressible file to store said web based application.

13. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to create an application level data directory under said root directory to create data directories under said root directory and initialize a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories.

14. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 13, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to:

initialize a first of said first plurality of storage data objects under said application level data directory to store a structural description describing non-file system structures and files of a file system of the web based application, and
copy and store said structure description in said first of said first plurality of storage data objects.

15. (Original) The apparatus of claim 14, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to initialize a second of said first plurality of storage data objects under said application level data directory to store a user description describing users of the web based application, and to copy and store said user description in said second of said first plurality of storage data objects.

16. (Original) The apparatus of claim 13, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to create a plurality of data table directories under said application level data directory to create data directories under said root directory and initialize a first plurality of storage data objects under said data directories.

17. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 16, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to:
initialize a first subset of said first plurality of storage data objects under said data table directory to store data table schemas of the web based application, and
initialize a second subset of said first plurality of storage data objects under said data table directory to data tables of the web based application.

18. (Original) The apparatus of claim 17, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to copy and store data table schemas and data

tables of the web based application into corresponding pairs of said first and second subset of said first plurality of storage data objects to copy and store non-file system structures into said first plurality of storage data objects.

19. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to copy and store files of the web based application that are part of a file system into said file for storing said web based application as second plurality of storage data objects under said root directory.

20. (Original) The apparatus of claim 19, wherein said programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to pre-process access control lists into a self-describing format before storing the access control lists into selected ones of said second plurality of storage data objects.

21. (Previously Presented) A method for copying/restoring a web based application into a domain, said method comprising:

- retrieving a structural description describing non-file system structures and files of the web based application;
- determining in accordance with at least said structural description non-file system structures of the web based application, including constitutions of the non-file system structures, and files of the web based application, including pathnames of the files;
- retrieving schemas and data of said non-file system structures in accordance with the result of said determination;
- storing said data of said non-file system structures in accordance with schemas of said non-file system structures; and
- retrieving and storing said files in accordance with the result of said determination.

22. (Original) The method of claim 21, wherein said retrieving and storing of files of the web based application comprises transforming one or more access control lists into a binary format before storing the one or more access control lists.

23. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:
a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions, when executed, operate the apparatus to:

retrieve a structural description describing non-file system structures and files of a web based application,

determine in accordance with at least said structural description non-file system structures of the web based application, including constitutions of the non-file system structures, and files of the web based application, including pathnames of the files,

retrieve schemas and data of said non-file system structures in accordance with the result of said determination,

store said data of said non-file system structures in accordance with schemas of said non-file system structures, and

retrieve and store said files in accordance with the result of said determination; and

at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

24. (Original) The apparatus of claim 23, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to transform an access control list into a binary format before storing the access control list.

25. (Original) A method for copying/restoring a web based application into a domain, said method comprising:

retrieving a plurality of data table schemas for a plurality of data tables of the web based application, and data of the data tables;

as each data table schema is retrieved,

storing the data table schema in a temporal storage location,
creating a data table in accordance with the data table schema,
determining if data for the data table has already been retrieved,
storing the data into the data table if the data for the data table has
already been retrieved; and
as each collection of data for a data table is retrieved,
storing the collection of data in a temporal storage location,
determining if the data table has already been created,
storing the data into the data table if the data table has already been
created.

26. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein the method further comprises upon storing the data of a data table into the data table, deleting the data table schema and the data of the data table stored in the respective temporal storage locations.

27. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein the method further comprises deleting log-in user names of users when storing data into a data table if the data table is an address book.

28. (Original) The method of claim 27, wherein the method further comprises determining if users having entries in an address book are authorized to log in the domain, and adding into corresponding entries of the address book log-in user names of users authorized to log in the domain.

29. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein the method further comprises conditionally deleting or retaining log-in user names of users depending on whether the users are authorized to log in the domain when storing data into a data table if the data table is an address book.

30. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25, wherein the method further comprises:

retrieving a list of users of the web based applications;
determining if the users are registered with the domain; and
registering the users with the domain if the users are determined to be not
having registered with the domain.

31. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 30, wherein the method further comprises:

determining if the users already have corresponding entries in an address book
of the web based application;
creating the corresponding entries in the address book if the corresponding
entries are determined not to have been previously created; and
upon either determining the existence or creation of the corresponding entries,
updating the corresponding entries with log-in user names of the users.

32. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions,
when executed, operate the apparatus to:

retrieve a plurality of data table schemas for a plurality of data tables of a
web based application, and data of the data tables,
as each data table schema is retrieved,
store the data table schema in a temporal storage location,
create a data table in accordance with the data table schema,
determine if data for the data table has already been retrieved,
store the data into the data table if the data for the data table has
already been retrieved, and
as each collection of data for a data table is retrieved,
store the collection of data in a temporal storage location,
determine if the data table has already been created,
store the data into the data table if the data table has already
been created; and

at least one processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the programming instructions.

33. (Original) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to delete the data table schema and the data of the data table stored in the respective temporal storage locations, upon storing the data of a data table into the data table.

34. (Original) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to delete log-in user names of users when storing data into a data table if the data table is an address book.

35. (Original) The apparatus of claim 34, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to determine if users having entries in an address book are authorized to log in the domain, and add into corresponding entries of the address book log-in user names of users authorized to log in the domain.

36. (Original) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to conditionally delete or retain log-in user names of users depending on whether the users are authorized to log in the domain when storing data into a data table if the data table is an address book.

37. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to:

- retrieve a list of users of the web based applications;
- determine if the users are registered with the domain; and
- register the users with the domain if the users are determined to be not having registered with the domain.

38. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 37, wherein the programming instructions, when executed, further operate the apparatus to:

determine if the users already have corresponding entries in an address book of the web based application;
create the corresponding entries in the address book if the corresponding entries are determined not to have been previously created; and
upon either determining the existence or creation of the corresponding entries, update the corresponding entries with log-in user names of the users.

Appendix B – Copies of Evidence Submitted

No evidence has been submitted under 37 C.F.R. 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132. No evidence entered by Examiner has been relied upon by Appellants in the appeal.